

REMARKS

Claims 15-18 and 24-31 were pending. Claim 24 has been amended for clarity, without acquiescence or prejudice to pursue the claims in a related application. No new matter has been added.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant gratefully thanks the Examiner for determining that claims 15-18 and 28-31 are allowable.

Specification

The specification is objected to as allegedly failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. Specifically, the Office action incorrectly asserted that the specification fails to provide antecedent basis for the claimed terminology “medium”. Applicant respectfully disagrees. The original specification clearly provides support for the claimed term “medium” at least in originally filed claims 19-24. The term “medium” is well known to an ordinary person skilled in the art. Thus, there is sufficient antecedent basis for the claim term “medium”. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests this objection to be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §101

Claims 24-27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claims recite a computer readable medium which allegedly is not one of the 4 statutory category as defined in U.S.C. §101. Applicant respectfully traverses.

Without acquiescing to the basis of the rejection, claim 24 has been amended. Therefore, this rejection is now moot.

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, all claims are believed allowable, and an allowance of the claims is respectfully requested. If the Examiner has any questions or comments, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the number listed below.

To the extent that any arguments and disclaimers were presented to distinguish prior art, or for other reasons substantially related to patentability, during the prosecution of any and all parent and related application(s)/patent(s), Applicant(s) hereby explicitly retracts and rescinds any and all such arguments and disclaimers, and respectfully requests that the Examiner re-visit the prior art that such arguments and disclaimers were made to avoid.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge Vista IP Law Group LLP Account No. 50-1105 for any fees required that are not covered, in whole or in part, and to credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account No. 50-1105.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 27, 2008

Vista IP Law Group LLP
1885 Lundy Avenue,
Suite 108
San Jose, CA 95131
Telephone: (408) 321-8663

By: /Jasper Kwoh/
Jasper Kwoh
Registration No. 54,921
for
Peter C. Mei
Registration No. 39,768